

REMARKS

Respecting rejection of the Examiner, claim 1 is hereby withdrawn from this application.
Claim 2 is hereby amended in independent form to include all of the limitations of claim


1.

It is noted with gratitude that claims 2-10 are allowed.

While applicant has considered the conclusions of the examiner, the applicant maintains that the claim language is supported by the specification and that section 112 paragraph 2 is fully complied with. In particular, applicant calls to the examiner's attention the elements recited on the last lines of full paragraph of page 12 citing each of the elements necessary in order to "change the direction of the discharge" (see lines 23 and 24). Notwithstanding the differences of the opinion between the examiner and the applicant, in order to expedite the allowance of this application, applicant has adopted all of the changes kindly recommended by the examiner.

In view of the foregoing, it is believed this application is in condition for allowance and the allowance thereof is respectfully requested. In the alternative it is believed that the claims are in better form for appeal in the event that action becomes necessary.

Respectively submitted,
THOMAS D. ANSPACH et al

By 
Norman Friedland
Attorney of Record
(Reg. No. 20,070)

Norman Friedland
2855 PGA Boulevard
Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33410
(561) 626-4111
Date: July 13, 2005